

## Who are the Sovereigns?

THE PEOPLE HAVE MORE POWER THAN GOVERNMENT WANTS THEM TO KNOW

(Nov. 29, 2011) — “You are the higher authority” when it comes to any contact with the agents of the state or federal government if you inhabit a Free State and learn how to be a sovereign. Then and only then will you be One of the People as in the Preamble of the National Constitution!

Some courts say that sovereignty exists in the people:

Spoooner v. McConnell, 22 F 939 (c) 943 [3]: “The sovereignty of a state does not reside in the persons who fill the different departments of its government, but in the People, from whom the government emanated; and they may change it at their discretion. Sovereignty, then in this country, abides with the constituency, and not with the agent; and this remark is true, both in reference to the federal and state government.”

1794 US Supreme Court case Glass v. Sloop Betsey [4]: “... Our government is founded upon compact. Sovereignty was, and is, in the people”

1829 US Supreme Court case Lansing v. Smith [5]: “People of a state are entitled to all rights which formerly belong to the King, by his prerogative.”

US Supreme Court in 4 Wheat 402 [6]: “The United States, as a whole, emanates from the people... The people, in their capacity as sovereigns, made and adopted the Constitution...”

US Supreme Court in Luther v. Borden, 48 US 1, 12 L Ed 581 [7]: “... The governments are but trustees acting under derived authority and have no power to delegate what is not delegated to them. But the people, as the original fountain might take away what they have delegated and intrust to whom they please. ...The sovereignty in every state resides in the people of the state and they may alter and change their form of government at their own pleasure.”

US Supreme Court in Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 US 356, page 370 [8]: “While sovereign powers are delegated to ... the government, sovereignty itself remains with the people..”

Yick Wo is a powerful anti-discrimination case. You might get the impression that the legislature can write perfectly legal laws, yet the laws cannot be enforced contrary to the intent of the people. It's as if servants do not make rules for their masters. It's as if the Citizens who created government were their masters. It's as if civil servants were to obey the higher authority. You are the higher authority. Imagine that! Isn't it a shame that your government was surrendered to those who are a terror to good works? Isn't it a shame that you enlisted to obey them?

US Supreme Court in *Julliard v. Greenman*: 110 US 421 [9]: “There is no such thing as a power of inherent sovereignty in the government of the United States .... In this country sovereignty resides in the people, and Congress can exercise no power which they have not, by their Constitution entrusted to it: All else is withheld.”

US Supreme Court in *Wilson v. Omaha Indian Tribe* 442 US 653, 667 (1979) [10]: “In common usage, the term ‘person’ does not include the sovereign, and statutes employing the word are ordinarily construed to exclude it.”

US Supreme Court in *U.S. v. Cooper*, 312 US 600,604, 61 SCt 742 (1941) [11]: “Since in common usage the term ‘person’ does not include the sovereign, statutes employing that term are ordinarily construed to exclude it.”

US Supreme Court in *U.S. v. United Mine Workers of America*, 330 U.S. 258 67 SCt677 (1947) [12]: “In common usage, the term ‘person’ does not include the sovereign and statutes employing it will ordinarily not be construed to do so.”

US Supreme Court in *US v. Fox* 94 US 315 [13]: “Since in common usage, the term ‘person’ does not include the sovereign, statutes employing the phrase are ordinarily construed to exclude it.”

*U.S. v. General Motors Corporation*, D.C. Ill, 2 F.R.D. 528, 530 [14]: “In common usage the word ‘person’ does not include the sovereign, and statutes employing the word are generally construed to exclude the sovereign.”

In the 1935 Supreme Court case of *Perry v. US* (294 US 330) the Supreme Court [15] found that “In United States, sovereignty resides in people... the Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of the People to override their will as thus declared.”

That’s right! According to the US Supreme Court, the people are non-persons.

This all makes sense; after all, servants don’t make rules for their masters.

In his book *Judicial Tyranny and Your Income Tax*, tax attorney Jeffrey Dickstein included the transcript of the tax trial *US v. Carl Beery*, Case A87-43CR Vol. III transcript. On page 296 of the book, you will read where the IRS claims, in court, that “an individual is somebody with a social security number.”