

In The
Supreme Court of the United States



Jeffrey T. Maehr,

Peti tioner

v.

John Koskinen, Commissioner of Internal Revenue; et al,

Respondents

MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

Petitioner comes before this honorable court with this Motion for Default Judgment against Defendants on the condition of this honorable court choosing not to ORDER Defendant to respond to the evidence presented, and DENIES Due Process Petition after 5-11-17 conference. Petitioner moves this court to GRANT this mandatory default judgment against Defendants under those conditions, and to have the court clerk file it as such, and to ORDER Defendants to, in a reasonable amount of time, produce said remedy to Petitioner, or Petitioner's estate.

Petitioner also moves the court to ORDER that financial remedy be derived from Defendant's lawfully required bonds, other insurance, or private funds, and not the American taxpayers.

On April 13, 2017, Defendants waived their rights to respond to the charges in this suit. In any court in America, such failure to respond and rebut evidence in fact would be a default against the Defendants.⁽¹⁾

¹ CFR › Title 45 › Subtitle B › Chapter VI › Part 681 › Section 681.10; See also FRCP, 12(a)(1), (4).

FRCP, Rule 55. Default; Default Judgment: (a) ENTERING A DEFAULT. When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default.

Petitioner holds that this continued failure for over 14 years to rebut and defend is prima facie evidence that Defendants are unwilling or unable to address the relevant issues of law, the Constitution, and the original intent of Congress and the People, and that their positions and actions are routinely sanctioned against Americans contrary to standing evidence of record.

Surely if these issues are so clear, unambiguous and set in law as Defendants and the lower courts claim, then it should be easy to produce the lawful evidence to rebut the evidence of record, and rebut this court's stare decisis, and put these issues to rest for all Americans. Justice and fairness demand a clear, unambiguous and honest answer or tax due process and fairness for Petitioner and all Americans has been denied.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey T. Maehr
924 E. Stollsteimer Rd.,
Pagosa Springs, Colorado 81147
970-731-9724

CC: - President Trump
- AG Jeff Sessions
- Congressman Trey Gowdy

(b) ENTERING A DEFAULT JUDGMENT.

(1) By the Clerk: If the plaintiff's claim is for a sum certain or a sum that can be made certain by computation, the clerk - on the plaintiff's request, with an affidavit showing the amount due—must enter judgment for that amount and costs against a defendant who has been defaulted for not appearing and who is neither a minor nor an incompetent person. (Continued on next page)

(d). (d) JUDGMENT AGAINST THE UNITED STATES. A default judgment may be entered against the United States, its officers, or its agencies only if the claimant establishes a claim or right to relief by evidence that satisfies the court.

(Default Judgment to be based on Petitioner's financial and other remedy of record in original documents filed in District Court of Colorado, and attached Affidavit, or as this honorable court deems fit and just. Social Security ongoing levy is of record in the Colorado District Court.)

No. 16-8625

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jeffrey T. Maehr

PETITIONER

VS.

Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Et al

RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jeffrey T. Maehr, do declare that on May 2, 2017 , I have served a copy of the document to the U.S. Supreme Court titled, "MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT" on the party to the above proceeding named below, by depositing an envelope containing a true copy of the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed with first-class postage prepaid.

The name and address of service is to:

Solicitor General of the U.S.
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Room 5616
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 2, 2017

Jeffrey T. Maehr
924 E. Stollsteimer Rd.,
Pagosa Springs, Colorado 81147
970-731-9724

CC: President Trump
AG Jeff Sessions

Congressman Trey Gowdy
104 South Main St.,
Greenville, SC 29601

May 2, 2017

RE: Motion for Default Judgment against the IRS

Dear Mr. Gowdy,

I am providing you (legislative branch) a copy of my Motion for Default Judgment against the IRS Defendants in my U.S. Supreme Court case # 16-8625, now set for conference on 5-11-17. The IRS Defendants waived their rights to respond to the evidence and suit. Surely this is evidence of their inability to prove their ongoing tax position against all Americans.

I understand you have become a target of the swamp creatures and applaud your courage and conviction to stand for truth and our constitution and laws. Millions are praying for your safety.

Please do what you can to expose this fraud.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey T. Maehr,
924 E. Stollsteimer Rd.,
Pagosa Springs, Colorado 81147
970-731-9724

Donald J. Trump
President of these United States
1600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

May 2, 2017

Dear Mr. President,

I am providing a copy of my Motion for Default Judgment to the U.S. Supreme Court for your review and for the record. The Defendant IRS waived its right to respond to this suit. Please help me to understand why it shouldn't be found in default as I certainly would be in their place, and what laws they seem to work under that don't apply to the rest of America.

Justice is dying in this Republic unless you help to defend Americans against lawlessness.

Sincerely, and in truth,

Jeffrey T. Maehr
924 E. Stollsteimer Rd.,
Pagosa Springs, Colorado 81147
(970) 731-9724

Jeff Sessions, AG
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

May 2, 2017

RE: IRS/Court Collusion and Fraud

Dear Mr. Sessions,

I am copying you on my Motion for Default Judgment against the IRS to the U.S. Supreme Court. The Defendant IRS waived its right to respond to this suit. Please help me to understand why it shouldn't be found in default as I certainly would be in the IRS' place, and what laws they seem to work under that don't apply to the rest of America.

I appreciate any lawful support you can provide this process, or we've lost this Republic. For the American People's sake, please review this whole case.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey T. Maehr,
924 E. Stollsteimer Rd.,
Pagosa Springs, Colorado 81147
970-731-9724